PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTH	HORITY				
To:	<u> </u>		PCT		
see form PCT/ISA/220		WR INTERNATIO	ITTEN OPINION OF THE ONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)		
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)		
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220		FOR FURTHER	RACTION		
International application No.		See paragraph 2 be	plow		
PCT/EP2004/012357	International filling date 01.11.2004		Priority date (day/month/year) 03.11.2003		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or A61M15/00	both national classification	and IPC			
Applicant					
GLAXO GROUP LIMITED					
Box No. V Reasoned state applicability; cits policy cits applicability; cits policy contain defects applicability; cits policy contain defects applicability; cits policy contain defects applicability. Certain observa applicability contains preling the international preling written opinion of the international	inlon nent of opinion with regal invention ment under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> ations and explanations ents cited in the international app tions on the internation. Prolimber of Control is mention.	ard to novelty, invent 1(a)(i) with regard to supporting such sta lication al application	usually be considered to be a		
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching. Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.					
3. For further details, see notes to For			,		
lame and mailing address of the ISA:		- v <u> </u>			
and main glaudiess of the ISA:		Authorized Officer	can Principle.		



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo ni Fax; +31 70 340 - 3016

Kroeders, M

Telephone No. +31 70 340-1967



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. . PCT/EP2004/012357

Box No. i Basis of the opinion					
 With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. 					
This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).					
With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
a. type of material:					
□ a sequence listing					
□ table(s) related to the sequence listing					
b. format of material:					
☐ in written format					
☐ in computer readable form					
c. time of filing/furnishing;					
contained in the international application as filed.					
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.					
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.					
In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional appropriate, were furnished.					
Additional comments:					

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/012357

8 a	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
T	The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:					
X						
be	because:					
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):					
×	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 32, 33					
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:					
	the written form		has not been furnished			
			does not comply with the standard			
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished			
		П	does not comply with the standard			
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form on not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instruction					
	See separate sheet for further o					

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/012357

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43b/s.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-31

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

os. Ciainis

No: Claims 1-31

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-31

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/012357

Re Item III.

Claims 32 and 33 were not searched in view of Article 17(2)(a)(ii) PCT and therefore no substantive examination can be performed. Furthermore, claims 32 and 33 do not specify any technical features which can be the subject of examination. Consequently, no opinion is formulated under Article 34(4)(a)(ii) PCT.

Re Item V.

1 The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1: WO 03/035151 A (GLAXO GROUP LIMITED; EDGERLEY, DAVID) 1 May 2003 (2003-05-01)

D2: EP 0 928 618 A (INNOVATA BIOMED LIMITED) 14 July 1999 (1999-07-14)
D3: WO 02/098495 A (INNOVATA BIOMED LIMITED; BRAITHWAITE, PHILIP) 12
December 2002 (2002-12-12)

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not inventive in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT. Document D1 discloses (see page 28, line 26 to page 35, line 2):

a hand-held device having as housing (200), a track in the housing, a chain of medicament containers (100) in the track and a conveying mechanism (206, 208, 210, 212) adapted to convey the chain along the track.

The subject-matter of claim 1 differs from this disclosure in that the medicament containers are specified to be capsules.

On page 26, line 20, document D1 mentions the use of capsules as an option, without specifying any details of that particular design. It is considered that if there is a difference between a peelable strip and a capsule, it lies strictly within the means to open the container, and not within the means for conveying. Therefore, it is considered that the subject-matter of claim 1 does not involve an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/012357

A similar objection can be made in view of documents D2 (see paragraphs 107 to 112) or D3 (see page 6, line 28 to page 7, line 12).

Dependent claims 2-31 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT). The reasons therefore are the following:

Dependent claims 2 to 20 and 29 to 31 appear to relate only to the drive of the conveying mechanism, which consist of sprockets and gear wheels. Document D1 discloses the basics of such a mechanism. The choice of using a plurality of these mechanisms does not involve an inventive step.

The features of the dependent claims relating to the chain of capsules (claims 21 to 28) do not clearly indicate a difference between the chain of capsules and the plurality of pockets disclosed in D1.

The device disclosed in claim 1 is industrial applicable and therefore the requirements of Article 33(4) PCT are met.

Claims 2 to 31 depend from claim 1 and refer to further embodiments of the device described in claim 1 and thus meet the requirements of Article 33(4) PCT for the same reasons explained above.